

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/775,647	02/10/2004	Stephen F. Badylak	3220-74581 1287	
7590 09/06/2006		EXAMINER		
Barnes & Thor		AFREMOVA, VERA		
11 South Meridian Street Indianapolis, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
• ,			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 09/06/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/775,647	BADYLAK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Vera Afremova	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ju	ly 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-15</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-15</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
		•				
Attachment(s)		•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application				

7

ş,

\*

**\*** 

4

Art Unit: 1651

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 4-15 (filed on 7/13/2006 same as filed on 11/03/2005) are pending and under examination.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action (filed on 7/13/2006) is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by WO 96/24661 (IDS reference) has been withdrawn because the cited document does not explicitly teach that the submucosa tissue is derived from stomach in the method for culturing eukaryotic cells.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 4-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 96/24661 and/or US 5,695,998 (Badylak et al.) taken with Gottrup et al., US 5,759,830 (Vacanti et al.) and US 4,829,000 (Kleinman et al.).

Claims are directed to a method for culturing eukaryotic cells *in vitro* on a substrate comprising stomach submucosal tissue under conditions conductive for proliferation of the cells. Some claims are further drawn to the use of stomach submucosal tissue as coating for cultureware, to the use of stomach submucosal tissue in fluidized form or in powder form.

Application/Control Number: 10/775,647

Art Unit: 1651

WO 96/24661 and/or US 5,695,998 (Badylak et al.) disclose a method of culturing eukaryotic cells *in vitro* in a culture medium comprising submucosal tissue under conditions conductive for proliferation of the cells. For example: see WO 96/24661 entire document including page 5 and/or table 2. For example: see US 5,695,998 entire document including table 2. The submucosal tissue is used as a coating for cultureware to provide for cell support, for cell attachment, proliferation and differentiation (US 5,695,998 at col. 4, line 13). The submucosal tissue is used in fluidized form or in powder form (US 5,695,998 at col. 5, lines 60-61). In particular embodiments of the cited WO 96/24661 and/or US 5,695,998 the submucosal tissue is derived from intestines.

The cited WO 96/24661 and/or US 5,695,998 also teach that the submucosal tissue-derived matrices are mostly collagenous matrices. In particular embodiment of the cited WO 96/24661 and/or US 5,695,998 teach the use of intestinal submucosa as collagenous matrices for culturing cells. However, the stomach tissues also contain collagen as evidenced by Gottrup, for example: see abstract. The collagen-coated matrices have been known and used for culturing various eukaryotic cells, for example: see US 5,759,830 entire document including table IV and col.10, lines 45-50. The complex ECM derived from animal tissues have been known and used for culturing various eukaryotic cells, for example: see US 4,829,000 entire document including abstract.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to substitute stomach submucosa for intestinal submucosa in the method for culturing eukaryotic cells in the presence of submucosa tissue-derived matrices with a reasonable expectation of success in cell support, attachment, proliferation and differentiation

Application/Control Number: 10/775,647

Art Unit: 1651

because both stomach submucosa and intestinal submucosa contain collagen and because various collagenous matrices including sole collagen and/or complex collagenous matrices have been known and used for cell cultures as adequately demonstrated by US 5,759,830 and by US 4,829,000. Thus, the use of stomach submucosa-derived matrix is an obvious variant of the intestinal submucosa-derived matrix for cell support, attachment, proliferation and differentiation. Thus, the claimed invention as a whole was clearly *prima facie* obvious, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

The claimed subject matter fails to patentably distinguish over the state art as represented be the cited references. Therefore, the claims are properly rejected under 35 USC § 103.

#### **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/775,647

Art Unit: 1651

Claims 4-15 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim(s) 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,695,998.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both are directed to methods of culturing eukaryotic cells in the presence of a culture growth substrate comprising submucosa tissue-derived collagenous matrices. The scope of the pending claims is drawn to culturing eukaryotic cells and, thus, it is broader than the scope of the issued claim(s) that is drawn to culturing one particular type of cells such as islets cells. With respect to the claimed submucosal tissue the scope of the issued claims is broader than the scope of the pending claims since the issued claim(s) are drawn to the use of a generic submucosal tissue as claimed and the pending claims are drawn to a particular submucosal tissue such as stomach submucosa.

Accordingly, the claimed methods in the patent US 5,695,998 and in the present application are obvious variants. Therefore, the inventions as claimed are co-extensive.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (571) 272-0914. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday from 9.30 am to 6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached at (571) 272-0926.

The fax phone number for the TC 1600 where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Art Unit: 1651

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 1600, telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Vera Afremova

AU 1651

September 2, 2006

VERA AFREMOVA

V. Aframo

PRIMARY EXAMINER